**Business vs. Nonbusiness Income:**
When to Allocate and When to Apportion

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 16, 2017, 1:00-2:50 pm Eastern

**IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR THE LIVE PROGRAM**

This program is approved for 2 CPE credit hours. To earn credit you must:

- **Participate in the program on your own computer connection (no sharing)** - if you need to register additional people, please call customer service at 1-800-926-7926 x10 (or 404-881-1141 x10). Strafford accepts American Express, Visa, MasterCard, Discover.

- **Listen on-line** via your computer speakers.

- **Respond to five prompts during the program plus a single verification code.** You will have to write down only the final verification code on the attestation form, which will be emailed to registered attendees.

- To earn full credit, you must remain connected for the entire program.

**WHO TO CONTACT DURING THE LIVE EVENT**

For Additional Registrations:
- Call Strafford Customer Service 1-800-926-7926 x10 (or 404-881-1141 x10)

For Assistance During the Live Program:
- On the web, use the chat box at the bottom left of the screen

If you get disconnected during the program, you can simply log in using your original instructions and PIN.
Tips for Optimal Quality

**Sound Quality**
When listening via your computer speakers, please note that the quality of your sound will vary depending on the speed and quality of your internet connection.

If the sound quality is not satisfactory, please e-mail sound@straffordpub.com immediately so we can address the problem.
Business vs. Nonbusiness Income

Nov. 16, 2017

Michael J. Cataldo, Special Counsel
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, San Francisco
michael.cataldo@pillsburyleaw.com

Carl N. Richie, CPA
Miami
crichie@carlrichiecpa.com
Notice

ANY TAX ADVICE IN THIS COMMUNICATION IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN BY THE SPEAKERS’ FIRMS TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A CLIENT OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY FOR THE PURPOSE OF (i) AVOIDING PENALTIES THAT MAY BE IMPOSED ON ANY TAXPAYER OR (ii) PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING TO ANOTHER PARTY ANY MATTERS ADDRESSED HEREIN.

You (and your employees, representatives, or agents) may disclose to any and all persons, without limitation, the tax treatment or tax structure, or both, of any transaction described in the associated materials we provide to you, including, but not limited to, any tax opinions, memoranda, or other tax analyses contained in those materials.

The information contained herein is of a general nature and based on authorities that are subject to change. Applicability of the information to specific situations should be determined through consultation with your tax adviser.
Business vs. Nonbusiness Income – When to Allocate and When to Apportion

Michael Cataldo, Esq.
and
Carl Richie, CPA
Business vs. Nonbusiness Income

Allocation vs. Apportionment Principles

- Business income is apportioned by formula amongst the various states where the corporation is doing business.
- Nonbusiness income is allocated to the corporation’s state of commercial domicile.
  - Special allocation rules in some states for certain types of income (e.g., income from real property allocated to location of real property).
A corporation's "commercial domicile" is generally the principal place from which the trade or business of the taxpayer is directed or managed.
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### Business income
- limited by U.S. Constitution
- defined by statute
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- Constitutional limitations on characterizing income as apportionable business income.
  - Unitary business enterprise test: Income derived through the operation of a unitary business.
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- Unitary business tests
  - Mobil test: Functional integration, centralization of management, economies of scale
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- Operational or investment function?
  - Look to asset's use and its relation to taxpayer’s activities within the state
  - Look to unitary factors (other than ownership).
    - Independent or related to business activity?
    - Passive?
Statutory definition of apportionable business income.

- UDITPA: “Business income” means income arising from transactions and activity in the regular course of the taxpayer’s trade or business, and includes income from tangible and intangible property if the acquisition, management, and disposition of the property constitute integral parts of the taxpayer’s trade or business.
  - transactional test and functional test

- All income is business income to the extent permitted by the Constitution.
- All items of income after specifically allocated income (e.g., Louisiana).
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- Transactional test – Income from transactions and activities in the regular course of the taxpayer’s trade or business
  - Income from operations
  - Income from the sale of business assets, where such sales are regular and consistent
    - For example, rental car companies generally sell cars after certain mileage or time owned
    - These transactions meet the transactional test due to the regularity
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- Functional test – Acquisition, management, and disposition of property constitute integral parts of the regular trade or business
  - Applies to assets used to generate business income
    - Fleet sales by a rental car company meet the functional test, since the cars were used to generate business income
  - “and” means “or”
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- Characterization of specific types of income (usually from intangible assets)
  - Dividends
  - Interest
  - Capital gains
  - Royalty income
  - Sale of subsidiaries or partnerships that are integral parts of the taxpayer’s trade or business
  - Sale of interests in non-unitary entities (e.g., entities that are not integral parts of the taxpayer’s trade or business)
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- Income from partnerships
  - Business/nonbusiness income determination made at the partner or partnership level?
    - E.g., Alabama, California and Illinois require determination at partnership level.
    - E.g., Arizona and Pennsylvania require determination be made at the partner level
  - Some states presume partnership income is nonunitary
    - E.g., Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi and Oklahoma
  - Entity or Aggregate theory of partnerships?
    - Most states aggregate partnership income and factors with the corporate partner's business income and factors
    - Some states require tax determination at the entity level (partnership income apportioned at partnership level).
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Penalties – California regulation prevents application of accuracy-related penalty on understatements attributable to business/nonbusiness income distinction. Cal. Code Regs. 19164
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Recent Cases
Comcast’s receipt of a termination fee at the conclusion of a failed merger with Media One constituted business income under the transactional test.

Comcast found not to be unitary with QVC, a television channel in which Comcast had a 57% ownership interest.
  – No centralization of management, functional integration, or economies of scale between the two entities.

**Levi Strauss, SBE No. 54705**
- SBE appeal involving issue whether interest and other expenses incurred in connection with a leveraged buy out of a California corporation’s stock were nonbusiness expenses wholly allocable to California
- Case settled prior to the SBE hearing

In *McKesson*, the New Jersey Supreme Court held that under “functional” test, gain from IRC section 338(h)(10) election constituted “nonoperational” allocable income, because deemed asset sale and liquidation, with proceeds distributed to parent, amounted to cessation of business when parent did not invest proceeds in a business similar to the business it sold.
Taxpayer filed suit claiming gain from the sale of a minority stock interest was nonbusiness income.

On December 31, 2015, the trial court ruled that the gains were business income.

On October 3, 2017, the California Court of Appeal for the Third Appellant District reversed and remanded the case back to the trial court to determine whether the stock was a business asset when the taxpayer chose to sell it.
Gain from the sale of stock in Pilgrim’s Pride received from taxpayer’s chicken processing business sale was nonbusiness income.

The stock represented a minority interest (7 percent) in Pilgrim’s Pride, a large publicly owned company.

The taxpayer and Pilgrim’s Pride were managed and operated independently, with no sharing of officers or directors.

Although the taxpayer entered into a supply agreement with Pilgrim’s Pride, the agreement merely provided that taxpayer would offer Pilgrim’s Pride the first opportunity to provide chicken at fair market value and in volumes similar to past volumes.
Taxpayer sold its fresh beef and pork operations to Swift Foods, a new joint venture, and received cash, notes and a 46 percent equity interest in the joint venture. The joint venture was formed to hold the taxpayer’s fresh beef and pork operations, which constituted substantially all of the operating assets of the joint venture.

The taxpayer provided debt financing for the new venture and continued to use fresh beef and pork from the operations as an integral part of its packaged food business.

The SBE ruled that the income earned from taxpayer’s equity and debt interests in the joint venture was business income.
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- THANK YOU…ANY QUESTIONS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO CONTACT US:
  
  Michael J. Cataldo  
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP  
michael.cataldo@pillsburylaw.com
  
  Carl Richie  
crichie@carlrichiecpa.com