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Current Environment

- Still a difficult business climate
- Large amount of debt maturing over next few years
- Decreased access to private capital
- Limited access to public markets
- Credit markets remain tight
- Buyer’s market in many industries
Distressed Companies: Buyers’ Opportunity and Risk

- **Potential Opportunities**
  - purchase assets at reduced cost
  - cherry-pick assets
  - less competition among buyers for assets
  - leverage to renegotiate leases and other executory contracts

- **Potential Risks**
  - inability to leave all unwanted liabilities behind
  - deterioration of employee base and customer base
  - limited diligence opportunity
  - less post-closing protection against risk
Distressed Companies: Unwanted Liabilities

- Fraudulent transfer claims under Bankruptcy Code and state law
  - Actual fraud
    - Rarely an issue in corporate M&A
  - Constructive fraud
    - Lack of reasonably equivalent value paid
    - Seller is financially impaired or will be rendered so by transaction
      - Fair value of assets less than liabilities
      - Unable to pay debts as they become due
      - Unreasonably small capital remaining
- Successor liability
  - De facto merger
  - Statutory or tort law liability
- Limited recourse against seller for indemnification claims
Flying Trapeze Without A Net

- Traditional asset purchase
  - Benefits
    - Speed
    - No-shops and exclusivity
  - Downsides
    - Fraudulent transfer risk
    - Risk of other unwanted liabilities
- Avenues to limit risk
  - Escrow holdbacks
  - Indemnification by stockholders
  - Consents/waivers from creditors and other key parties
  - Third party appraisals
Alternative Structures

- Bankruptcy
  - Chapter 11 Plan
  - “363 Sale”
- Assignment for benefit of creditors (“ABC”)
  - Creature of state law
  - Assignee similar to bankruptcy trustee
  - No court filing in California
- Friendly foreclosure
  - Target allows secured creditor to foreclose
  - Title transferred by secured creditor
Bankruptcy: Section 363 Sales

- **Major benefits**
  - Reduced risk of later challenges
  - Free and clear of encumbrances
  - Ability to force assignment of contracts
  - Finality of sale

- **Downsides**
  - Cost and delay
  - Bankruptcy is public
  - Overbids and credit bids
  - IP Issues
  - Impact on business
Before Bankruptcy: Due Diligence

- Due diligence issues
  - Non-disclosure agreements
  - Access to data rooms
  - Valuation and pricing issues
  - Focus on key assets to purchase
  - Separating shared assets can be an issue
    - If less than substantially all assets are purchased
    - Some assets may be used in multiple divisions
    - Need for transition services
  - Executory contracts and leases
  - IP issues
Before Bankruptcy: Section 363 Sale Process

- The “stalking horse” bid
  - Negotiating the “stalking horse” agreement
    - Key definitions of purchased and excluded assets
    - Purchase price adjustments
    - Cure costs for executory contracts
    - Generally few reps and warranties
    - Bankruptcy process provisions and walk rights
  - Release or purchase of adverse claims
    - Debtors generally want to retain avoidance actions
    - Purchaser may want to acquire these claims against vendors and customers
  - Negotiating with contract parties and IP licensors
    - Improving terms
    - Obtaining required consents
Before Bankruptcy: Bid Protections

- Protections for stalking horse bidder
  - Bidding procedures
    - Financial and other requirements for qualified bidders
    - Deadlines for competing bids
    - Access to information on potential competing bids
  - Break-up fee and expense reimbursement
    - Different standards in various courts
    - Concerns about bid chilling
    - Deal size can have impact
- Overbid requirements
  - Minimum overbid amount to cover break-up fee and expenses
  - Setting bidding increments for overbid and auction
  - Stalking horse’s ability to credit break-up fee
Venue And Secured Creditor Issues

- Venue considerations
  - Delaware and Southern District of New York are frequent venue choices for larger cases
  - Courts around the country vary on how Section 363 sales are handled
  - Differences in type of sale orders that will be approved

- Role of secured creditors
  - Critical that sale be “free and clear” of all liens and encumbrances
  - Consent of secured creditors may be required for “short sale”
  - Secured creditors have right to credit bid debt
  - Payment of break-up fee and expense reimbursement may be an issue if credit bid prevails
  - May provide DIP financing to enable operations through closing
The Filing: Section 363 Sale Process

- Court approval process
  - Bidding procedures motion
    - Often initial motion seeks approval of procedures, break-up fee, and expense reimbursement provisions
    - May be a “first day” motion if sale is pre-arranged
  - Section 363 sale motion
    - Motion seeks court approval of the asset sale itself
    - Form of “free and clear” sale order often submitted with motion
  - Motion to assume and assign contracts
    - Often includes all possible executory contracts and leases
    - Purchaser generally reserves right to remove contracts
    - Adequate assurance of future performance issues
The Creditors’ Committee

- Role of creditors’ committee
  - Appointed after stalking horse agreement signed
  - Focus is on recovery for unsecured creditors
    - May have disputes with secured creditors
    - Could impact sale process
  - Entitled to be heard on all issues
  - May press for changes to stalking horse agreement
    - Longer sale period to attract overbids
    - Reductions in break-up fee and expense reimbursement
    - Substantive deal points
Overbids And Auction Process

- The bidding period and beyond
  - Shopping the deal
    - Debtor and creditors’ committee want overbids
    - Fiduciary duty to maximize value to estate and creditors
  - Overbids
    - Questions whether qualified under bid procedures
    - Bid may be “orange” to stalking horse’s “apple”
    - Differences on terms other than price can be complicated
- Auction
  - Stalking horse bidder’s advantages
  - Opportunity for overbidders
  - Auction strategies
Executory Contract Issues

- Executory contracts and leases
  - Review of key contracts
  - Identifying valuable agreements for assignment
  - Compiling list of contracts to leave behind
  - Assessing existing defaults and cure costs
  - Limited impact of anti-assignment provisions

- Special issues with intellectual property licenses
  - In-bound IP licenses may be non-assignable absent consent
  - Out-bound IP licensees may have rights to IP even if licenses are rejected
**Executory Contracts Under The Bankruptcy Code**

- **General rule**: Debtor or trustee can assume, assign, or reject executory contracts pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, subject to certain limitations.

- **Generally accepted definitions**:
  - A contract “that is not so fully performed that a breach by either side would constitute a material breach of the contract”
  - If there are unperformed obligations on both sides of the agreement, then the agreement is an executory contract.

- **IP licenses as executory contracts**: Courts generally hold that intellectual property licenses are executory contracts, often noting:
  - licensor’s obligations not to sue and to protect IP from infringement claims, and
  - licensee’s obligations to use IP within scope of license and to pay royalties when license is not fully paid-up.

- **Key limitation**: Section 365(c)(1) contains “applicable law” limitation on assignment and, according to some courts, on assumption.
Assumption and Assignment Under Section 365

- Section 365(b)(1) governs the assumption of executory contracts by a debtor if there has been a default under the contract, such as the failure of a debtor licensee to pay royalties to its licensor as required by the agreement.
- If a default exists, the executory contract may be assumed and assigned only if:
  - the debtor **cures** or provides adequate assurance that it will cure, all monetary defaults under the contract;
  - the debtor **compensates**, or provides adequate assurance that it will compensate, a party other than the debtor to such contract, for any actual pecuniary loss to such party resulting from the default; and
- Adequate assurance of future performance requires the assignee to demonstrate its ability to perform its obligations under the agreement after assumption and assignment, but it is not a guarantee of performance.
- Anti-assignment provisions are generally unenforceable, with certain exceptions.
Section 365(c)(1) of the United States Bankruptcy Code

(c) The trustee may not assume or assign any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor, whether or not such contract or lease prohibits or restricts assignment of rights or delegation of duties, if—

(1)(A) applicable law excuses a party, other than the debtor, to such contract or lease from accepting performance from or rendering performance to an entity other than the debtor or the debtor in possession, whether or not such contract or lease prohibits or restricts assignment of rights or delegation of duties; and

(B) such party does not consent to such assumption or assignment;
IP Licenses: When The Licensee Is In Bankruptcy

- Most Courts of Appeals have ruled that “applicable law” includes patent and copyright law.

- Unpublished Ninth Circuit decision recently affirmed Nevada district court’s holding that trademark licenses are also personal and non-assignable without consent.

- Federal courts generally hold that non-exclusive patent and copyright licenses are personal to the licensee and not assignable without consent of the licensor.

- Ninth Circuit’s decision in Gardner v. Nike, 279 F.3d 774 (9th Cir. 2002), holds that exclusive copyright licenses are also not assignable without copyright holder’s consent.

- Rule is less clear for exclusive patent licenses, but no court has held they are assignable in bankruptcy over patentee’s objection.
Another Way To Assume Or Assign An IP License: Establish Licensor’s Consent

- Applicable law and section 365(c)(1) prohibit assignment absent “consent” of licensor.

- So what constitutes consent?
  - Many courts will enforce provisions in license agreement in which licensor gave advance, conditional consent to assignment of license.
  - License agreements sometimes contain provisions consenting to assignment “in connection with a sale of all or substantially all of the assets” of the licensee.
  - If consent conditions are met, may permit assumption and assignment in all circuits.
  - Minority view: Fourth Circuit’s *Sunterra* decision refused to treat prepetition consent language as sufficient consent under section 365(c)(1).
Under Section 365(n), if debtor licensor or its trustee rejects a contract, the non-debtor licensee has the right to make an election of remedies.

- **Option One**: treat the license as terminated, cease using the IP, and file a claim under the Code for damages pursuant to section 365(g). Licensee will be treated as a general *unsecured* creditor absent a security interest.

- **Option Two**: elect to retain the rights to the intellectual property under the license for the duration of the contract and any period for which the contract may be extended as of right, so long as the licensee makes all royalty payments. This includes rights of exclusivity. Licensee must waive any right to a setoff or for administrative claim based on the rejection.

Upon request, trustee/DIP must (i) provide the licensee with the embodiment of the IP *to the extent provided for in the license*; and (ii) not interfere with the licensee’s rights.

For purchasers, out-bound IP licenses -- **even if rejected** -- may impact value and rights to IP purchased as part of Section 363 sale.
Sale Hearing And The Closing

Sale Hearing
- Confirm results of auction
- Section 363(m) “good faith purchaser” finding
- Address any objections by creditors or contract parties
- Entry of sale order
  - Authorizes debtor to close
  - Approves assumption and assignment of executory contracts and leases

Closing issues
- Possible cure cost and executory contract issues
- Satisfaction of closing conditions
- Impact of appeal
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